

ANNUAL REPORT OF THE  
BOARD OF REGENTS OF  
THE SMITHSONIAN  
INSTITUTION

SHOWING THE  
OPERATIONS, EXPENDITURES, AND  
CONDITION OF THE INSTITUTION  
FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30

1944



(Publication 3776)

UNITED STATES  
GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE  
WASHINGTON : 1945

## CONTENTS

---

	Page
List of officials.....	v
Wartime activities of the Institution.....	2
Summary of the year's activities of the branches of the Institution.....	4
The establishment.....	8
The Board of Regents.....	9
Finances.....	10
Twelfth Arthur lecture.....	10
Publications.....	11
Library.....	12
Appendix 1. Report on the United States National Museum.....	13
2. Report on the National Gallery of Art.....	29
3. Report on the National Collection of Fine Arts.....	39
4. Report on the Freer Gallery of Art.....	44
5. Report on the Bureau of American Ethnology.....	51
6. Report on the International Exchange Service.....	59
7. Report on the National Zoological Park.....	67
8. Report on the Astrophysical Observatory.....	94
9. Report on the library.....	97
10. Report on publications.....	103
Report of the executive committee of the Board of Regents.....	110

## GENERAL APPENDIX

---

Solar variation and weather, by Charles G. Abbot.....	119
Astronomy in a world at war, by A. Vibert Douglas.....	155
The structure of the universe, by Claude William Heaps.....	165
Industrial science looks ahead, by David Sarnoff.....	183
The new microscopes, by R. E. Seidel and M. Elizabeth Winter.....	193
Radio acoustic ranging (R. A. R.), by Commander K. T. Adams.....	221
The David W. Taylor Model Basin, by Rear Admiral Herbert S. Howard.....	239
Research for aeronautics—its planning and application, by W. S. Farren.....	251
Human limits in flight, by Byran H. C. Matthews.....	273
Trans-Arctic aviation, by Lt. Elmer Plischke.....	285
Our petroleum resources, by Wallace E. Pratt.....	297
Woods and trees: Philosophical implications of some facts of science, by Frederick H. Krecker.....	307
Biology and medicine, by Asa Crawford Chandler.....	317
The locust plague, by B. P. Uvarov.....	331
The codling moth, by B. A. Porter.....	347
Grassland and farmland as factors in the cyclical development of Eurasian history, by J. Russell Smith.....	357
Southern Arabia, a problem for the future, by Carleton S. Coon.....	385
The New World Paleo-Indian, by Frank H. H. Roberts, Jr.....	403
Easter Island, by Alfred Métraux.....	435
Brain rhythms, by E. D. Adrian.....	453
The development of penicillin in medicine, by H. W. Florey and E. Chain.....	461
Recent advances in anesthesia, by John C. Krantz, Jr.....	467
Aspects of the epidemiology of tuberculosis, by Leland W. Parr.....	477

# THE NEW MICROSCOPES<sup>1</sup>

By R. E. SEIDEL, M. D., and M. ELIZABETH WINTER  
*Philadelphia, Pa.*

[With 5 plates]

It is, to speak conservatively, of extreme interest to review the recent progress made by the scientist in his endeavor to penetrate the unseen world of the minute and disease-causing organisms, in particular a world of viruses—suspected, yet lying just beyond the scope of human vision and the power of the microscope to reveal; for the laboratory research worker, the doctor, the technician long have been familiar with the effects of these unseen enemies they have been called upon to treat and to cope with in man, animal, and plant, and while their knowledge of the infinitesimal has been growing steadily, they were, until very recently, unable to make the slight step “beyond” which would enable them to “see.” But today, science is exploring—looking for the first time upon totally new worlds through the eyes of totally new types of microscopes, microscopes new in principle of construction and in principle of illumination.

## THE ELECTRON MICROSCOPE

One of these new instruments, the electron microscope, has received considerable attention and is now being used extensively in both industrial and medical research. Based on the principles of geometric electron optics, this microscope utilizes electrons as a source of illumination instead of the light source of the ordinary light microscope.

Electrons, practically speaking, are the smallest, lightest particles of matter and electricity. Like light, they behave like corpuscles guided by waves. Unlike light, however, they travel in a straight line in a vacuum where, subject to the action of electric and magnetic fields, their behavior coincides with the laws and principles set down by Sir William Hamilton who, more than a century ago, demonstrated the existence of a close analogy between the path of a light ray through refracting media and that of a particle through conservative fields of force.

We know that these negatively charged particles, the electrons, revolving about in their various orbits in the atom, serve to maintain the

<sup>1</sup> Reprinted by permission from the *Journal of the Franklin Institute*, vol. 237, No. 2, February 1944.

balance of the atom while the nucleus exerts the "positive" force which holds it together; and we also know that when this balance is upset, due to gain or loss of electrons, we think of the atom as "charged," since it is this circumstance which causes the tiny particle to attract or repel other electrons according to the state of its unbalance. And science has succeeded in unbalancing the atoms to such an appreciable extent that the negative electricity may be withdrawn and harnessed for use in such instruments as the electron microscopes.

The fact has long been established that atoms are in a constant state of vibration in a heated body and that the greater the heat of the body, the greater the agitation of the atoms. According to the electron theory of metals, electrons circulate about a three-dimensional network, or lattice, of positive ions, some of the electrons being comparatively free, that is to say, the attractions of the ions are practically canceled by the repulsions of the other electrons. It does not necessarily follow, however, that the same electrons consistently remain free. They may be controlled by the ions eventually, but regardless of this, there is always a fixed number of them that are free. Moreover, there is a critical value of speed above which the electrons are able to rise in metals and thus escape from their restraining positive charges, though at ordinary temperatures the proportion of them moving rapidly enough to do this is relatively small. However, as the heat applied to the metal is increased, not only is the thermal agitation of the electrons increased also, but the proportion among them possessing sufficiently high speeds to enable them to leave the metal.

Thus is heat applied to the electron source of the electron microscope which, in the case of most instruments of this kind, is a tungsten filament surrounded by a guard cylinder. After leaving the filament, or cathode, the electrons enter an electric field wherein are large accumulations of charge which serve to speed up steadily the motion of these freely moving particles. Since the electrons travel in vacua, none of the kinetic energy gained in crossing the field is lost, the total kinetic energy, or energy of motion, gained in passing through this region being proportional to the voltage applied. We may deduce, therefore, that since increase of charge in an electric field means a proportional increase of kinetic energy of these electrons, the higher the voltage applied, the greater the speed of the electrons—all of which has been calculated mathematically and confirmed experimentally.

After traversing the electric field and passing through the anode, the electrons are concentrated on the specimen under examination by the first of three magnetic fields which are created by currents flowing through coils enclosed in soft iron shields, molded so as to concentrate

the magnetic fields on a short section of the microscope's axis. Whereas in the ordinary light microscope glass lenses serve as the refractive media through which light rays are deflected, in the electron microscope it is these magnetic fields of rotational symmetry which are the refractive media and serve as the "lenses" which deflect the beams of




FIGURE 1.—Comparison of a simplified cross section of an electron microscope (left) with that of an ordinary light microscope.

electrons. The first of these, the condenser lens coil, corresponding to the substage condenser of the ordinary light microscope, concentrates the beam of electrons upon the specimen. The convergence of the beam falling on the specimen is controlled by varying the current through this condenser lens. Now, having passed through the specimen, the objective coil, similar in effect to the objective lens, focuses the electrons, and an intermediate image enlarged about 100 diameters

is formed. Finally, the projection coil, corresponding to the projection lens or ocular, produces a further magnified image on a large fluorescent screen. In some of the electron microscopes, there is a periscope-like attachment by means of which it is possible to locate and adjust for study the most interesting portion of the specimen, or that which it is desired should be examined, before the projection lens coil forms the final magnified image upon the screen, since it is sometimes difficult to accomplish this at high magnification. Also, if it is desired that a photographic record be made, the screen can be removed and a photographic plate substituted.

The specimen itself is supported on a thin nitrocellulose membrane less than one-millionth of an inch thick, and clamped in the tip of a cartridge which is inserted between the pole pieces of the objective coil. The membrane is suspended across the opening of a fine-mesh screen, and a plate, serving as the movable stage, supports the cartridge. The image is projected onto the screen according to the density and atomic weight of the specimen. In other words, whereas in the ordinary light microscope the image is seen because of refraction of the specimen or differences in absorption, in the electron microscope the image is seen through scattering of the electrons, and since electrons travel in a straight line in a vacuum, it stands to reason that even a fairly thin specimen will prove sufficient to deflect such particles. Electrons which strike a thick or solid portion of the specimen will, of course, not continue on in a straight line to the screen but will be either completely absorbed by the specimen or scattered too far out of the beam, thus failing to enter the narrow aperture of the objective, so that that portion of the screen corresponding to the thick portion of the specimen will remain dark. However, those electrons which are able to escape complete absorption or too great deflection, because they do not happen to come in contact with too solid a portion of the specimen and either pass along on all sides of it or penetrate the thinner portions where it is possible they may encounter only a single heavy nucleus for considerable scattering (the angle of deflection being proportional to the square root of the thickness), continue on to the screen where they impinge and cause the chemically treated screen to fluoresce, thus providing a study in light and shadow. If the atoms of a particular substance are heavy, they will also deflect more electrons than if they were light. It may be readily seen, therefore, that the thinner the specimen and its mounting, or the greater the variations in density of the specimen, the more internal structure and detail which may be seen, since too great density tends to absorb or interrupt the straight-line progress of too many of the electrons.

Focusing of the image is accomplished by varying the strength of the fields and thereby altering the focal length of the "lens" coils at

will, so that the need of changing the specimen's position in relation to a fixed optical system, as would be the case with an ordinary light microscope, is avoided. Thus, magnification in an electron microscope can be continuously varied.

Some specimens may be mounted directly on the fine-mesh screen while others may be embedded in collodion, sealed between films of collodion, or suspended in a gelatin film, itself supported on collodion film. The supporting films beside being very thin must be homogeneous lest an artifact be created. For the most part, no staining of bacteriological specimens is done since usually they exhibit sufficiently high contrast in density to reveal readily flagella and other detail without any preparation except that of suspending the specimen in distilled water or other liquid and allowing a drop of the suspension to dry on the film surface, which method is also utilized for specimens of colloidal particles, pigments, and other chemical preparations. At times, however, as Dr. L. Marton, of Stanford University, has mentioned in his article on the electron microscope (written for *The Journal of Bacteriology*, March 1941, when he was associated with the R. C. A. Research Laboratories), virus particles may show decided low contrast. One method which Dr. Marton mentioned for overcoming this is to obtain a number of electron micrographs at various focuses and simply select the best one for study. Or the virus may be permitted to absorb colloidal gold which would result in an image of high contrast. Dr. Marton points out that there may be future need for a staining in density and that already osmic acid has been tried and used for this purpose.

In this microscope, voltages of between 30,000 and 60,000 are used. It has been previously stated that the higher the voltage, the greater the speed of the electrons. This might now be augmented to read, the higher the voltage, the greater the speed of electrons; hence, the shorter the wave length. An explanation of this may be approached through a brief discussion of short-wave diffraction as considered by Dr. Karl K. Darrow, of Bell Laboratories, in his book, "The Renaissance of Physics." In order to obtain convenient angles of refraction with the ordinary diffraction grating, it is necessary that the wave lengths of light be smaller, but not many times smaller, than the spacing between the wires or grooves. Naturally, a limit of measurement is reached in the region of ultraviolet light since it is impossible to lessen further the spacing of these gratings. However, this limitation was overcome when von Laue conceived the idea of substituting a crystal for an artificial grating since the atoms in a crystal are a thousand times more closely set together than are the wires or grooves of a grating and are arranged in precise regular order or "lattices," and, like gratings, are unable to diffract waves which are longer than

the spacings between their atoms. Von Laue suggested that if a beam of light were directed across a crystal and made to strike a photographic plate, there would appear a spray of narrow rays each composed of a single wave train instead of the broad fanlike arrangement of the grating, and a pattern of starlike spots where the rays come in contact with the plate instead of the dark irregular blot when a grating is used. Of course, the rays are disposed according to the spacings of the atoms in the lattice and according to the character of the lattice. Von Laue confirmed this idea for waves short enough to be so diffracted and then advanced the theory that this principle might hold true for X-rays as well, which theory was almost immediately confirmed by Friedrich and Knipping. Shortly after Schroedinger began to develop De Broglie's wave theory of electrons, Elsasser conceived the idea that possibly these tiny particles might also be diffracted by crystals, and Doctors Davisson and Germer, of the Bell Telephone Research Laboratories, using as part of their apparatus an electron gun, set out to test and to prove this theory. Due to their experiments and those of G. P. Thomson, it was established beyond a doubt that electron beams are diffracted just as are X-ray beams. However, it was also demonstrated in the course of these experiments that electrons of slow speeds and feeble kinetic energies are unable to penetrate the crystals. It was Thomson who utilized faster electrons and demonstrated that not only are electrons diffracted like X-rays, but like X-rays also they make an imprint upon a photographic plate at increased speeds. These three men, together with others, then measured the wave lengths which they compared with the momenta of these electrons by their diffraction. To these experiments and measurements were then applied the following rules of correlation: "Energy ( $E$ ) is proportional to frequency ( $\nu$ ), and momentum ( $p$ ) is inversely proportional to wavelength ( $\lambda$ ), the same constant ( $h$ ) appearing in both relations. (Frequency is interpreted as the velocity ( $V$ ) of the waves divided by their wavelength.)" These rules can be applied mathematically to the electron microscope to illustrate better the principles of its operation. In making use of the first rule, however, it is necessary to substitute "voltage" for "frequency," and in so doing, therefore, the rules of correlation explain the increase of energy in relation to the increase of voltage as well as the increase of speed of electrons in relation to the decrease or shortening of wave length when we say the higher the voltage, the greater the speed; hence, the shorter the wave length of electrons. It is interesting to note in passing that a 150-volt electron has a wave length of one Angstrom unit, this being more than  $10^3$  times smaller than the wave length of visible or ultraviolet light.

Because the wave lengths utilized in an electron microscope are so much shorter than those employed in an ordinary light microscope,



it is possible to obtain greatly increased resolution and magnification. As a matter of fact, resolution up to 20,000 or 25,000 diameters may be realized, and increased magnifications beyond this point up to 100,000, even 200,000 diameters, can be obtained, such magnifications, however, constituting enlargement of the image. (Definitions of "resolution" and "magnification" discussed under "The Ordinary Microscope.") This high magnification is greatly desirable since otherwise the eye would be unable to distinguish the fine detail of internal structure at a resolution of the order of 25,000. As a result of this increase in resolution and magnification over that of the ordinary light microscope which is between 1,600 and 2,500 diameters and in the ultramicroscope between 2,500 and 5,000 diameters, many surface cells and much intricate internal structure hitherto unsuspected, or at least undetected by ordinary microscopes, have been revealed. To cite a few examples:

The streptococcal cells appear, not as individual cells, that is, separate and apart from one another, but as chainlike groups, the cells in each chain being bound together apparently by the strong rigid membrane or outer cellular wall which extends over a number of these cells and which is so plainly evident under the electron microscope. Subjected to sonic vibration, these cells suffer a loss of protoplasmic material from their interior, causing them to become mere "ghost" cells, which makes them more transparent to electron beams. That there exists considerable difference between the surface structure and internal composition of these cells has also been determined and demonstrated.

Using the electron microscope, Dr. Harry E. Morton, of the department of bacteriology of the University of Pennsylvania Medical School, and Dr. Thomas F. Anderson, of R. C. A. Research Laboratories were able to demonstrate that in at least one instance where chemical reaction is induced by bacteria this reaction takes place "inside" the cells. The fact that diphtheria bacilli reduce potassium tellurite to metallic tellurium has been known for some time, but whether this reaction occurred inside the cell or on the cell surface or both had never been definitely shown until the electron microscope was made available. Then, obtaining unstained preparations of *Corynebacterium diphtheriae* grown on blood infusion agar, Drs. Morton and Anderson demonstrated that the typical polar granules appear as dense spherical masses, or possibly plates, of a very black color and that in unstained preparations of this same *Corynebacterium diphtheriae* grown on potassium tellurite chocolate agar, not only the polar granules are in evidence but also the tiny needlelike crystals inside the cell which disappear along with the black color of the cell masses when a drop of bromine water is added to 1 cc. of a suspension

of the cells on potassium tellurite chocolate agar. From this the experimenters were able to deduce that tellurium metal occurs in the form of needles and is the cause of the black color, and that this reaction occurs within the cells since the crystals have never been observed to lie totally outside the cell wall, although at times there is some distortion of the wall.

The electron microscope also affords such study and observation as that carried out by Dr. W. M. Stanley, of the Rockefeller Institute of Medical Research, and Dr. Thomas F. Anderson in their recent investigation of plant viruses. By means of electron micrographs, they were able to judge the exact manner and extent of attack made on the tobacco mosaic virus by the protein antibodies in the blood stream of rabbits in which an artificial immunity to the virus had been produced.

Structures like that of the spirochete of Weil's disease, typhoid flagella, unusual internal structure of pertussis organisms, tubercle bacilli, the isolation and recognition of the influenza virus, the spores of trychophyton mentagrophytes, *Spirochaeta pallida* with its accompanying flagellar appendages, and colloidal particles are but a few of the interesting revelations of the electron microscope for medical science. Industrial science, too, has found this new research tool of great value in the study of metals, alloys, and plastics, as well as in the study of size, shape, and distribution of particles in chemical compounds and elements.

The electron microscope herein described is that manufactured by the Radio Corporation of America. There are, of course, variations in construction of the different instruments of this kind but all types are built along similar lines and upon the same general principles. In the electron microscope there is some aberration plus the additional disadvantages of having the specimen in a vacuum, not to mention the probable protoplasmic changes induced by the terrific bombardment of electrons, and finally, what is perhaps the greatest disadvantage insofar as medical science is concerned—that of being unable to view living organisms. Nevertheless, the disadvantages of the microscope are far overshadowed by its increased resolving and magnification powers which have combined to make it an invaluable research tool.

#### RESOLUTION AND MAGNIFICATION OF ORDINARY MICROSCOPE

We have stated that the resolving power of the ordinary light microscope is restricted to between 1,600 and 2,500 diameters and that of the ordinary ultramicroscope to between 2,500 and 5,000 diameters, resolution in any microscope being the ability of the instrument to reveal the most minute of component parts of a specimen so that each may be seen as a distinct and separate image. For in-

stance, let us suppose an object is examined through which run two very fine parallel lines closely set together. If the two lines are visible under the microscope and are revealed as two separate images, then, apparently, no limit of resolution has been reached; but if the two lines are merged or revealed as only one, and upon further magnification the image merely becomes enlarged without separation of the lines, then a limit of resolution apparently has been reached and additional magnification would constitute only enlargement. Assuming now that the object is a point object in which case the images of the points would be diffraction disks, the disks should likewise be sufficiently resolved so that each may be distinguished as a single image. If, when these disks are seen to overlap, additional magnification fails to extend the distance between them, their size simply increasing in proportion to the increase of magnification, or, if they are all but completely merged and the image becomes just a spurious disk of light, it is evident that a definite limit of resolution has been attained and that further magnification would be useless. Resolution, in a broad sense, then, is the ability of the microscope to bring out or reveal internal structure and detail of a specimen, the shortest distance it is possible to separate two component parts, according to Abbe, being not less than the wave length of light by which the specimen is illuminated divided by the numerical aperture of the objective lens plus the numerical aperture of the condenser lens, or about one-third the wave length of light utilized.

The several factors which are generally acknowledged to be responsible for the limitation of resolving power are interrelated. Now when light passes from one medium into another of different density—in the instance which we are considering that of light refracted by the specimen and passing from air into glass—the light rays are deviated from their straight-line course; that is to say, when they come to within a very short distance of this denser medium, they are acted upon by a very powerful force in such a manner that they execute a short, rapidly curving motion, or an angle, and are pulled into the medium of greater density. When the rays of light undergo such a force, the momentum of the corpuscles is increased and the speed of the waves decreased, resulting, of course, in a shortening of the wave lengths. Here, again, we may make use of the second of the rules of correlation—“Momentum (of corpuscles) varies inversely as wavelength (of waves).” Once well inside the new medium, however, the light rays straighten themselves out again (unless the medium is so constructed that it possesses gradation of density, in which case they follow a curved path). They do this in spite of the fact that the same forces are still acting upon them, although now these forces issue from all sides of them and so cancel each other out, the momentum of the photons or

light corpuscles continuing to increase while the speed of the waves is proportionately retarded. If the light is refracted normally to the surface, however, it does not bend, but tends to cause a shortening of the optical path although the wave length is shortened regardless. It is only when it is refracted obliquely to the surface that the light is bent, the greater the obliquity of the incident ray and the denser the medium, the greater the bending of the angle of the cone of light and the shorter the wave length. It might therefore seem desirable to obtain as great an angle of refraction as possible. However, shortening of the wave length is not in exact proportion to the amount of bending except in the case of the diffraction grating. And regardless of how great a change there is in its angle, the numerical aperture of the light, or angular aperture as it is more properly called, remains constant.

In order, then, that the cone of light be large enough to supply the aperture of the objective with sufficient light to produce an accurate, bright, and enlarged image of the specimen, it is first necessary that the specimen be refracting or emitting light of an adequate quantity, since both magnification and resolution are largely dependent upon the amount of light which the objective utilizes and receives into the tube of the microscope and since such light as the objective does receive should be only that emitted by the specimen. It is obvious, therefore, that it is of primary importance for the specimen itself to be amply illuminated. This would seem to depend entirely on the actual light source, yet no matter how powerful a light source is employed, it is of little avail unless the condenser is of sufficient quality and aperture dimensions to accommodate the light which it receives from the source. If, for instance, the numerical aperture of the objective is 1.25, the width of the cone of light emanating from the specimen should completely fill this aperture in order for the fullest powers of the microscope to be realized. Now, since the condenser supplies the light to the specimen, it stands to reason that it, also, should have a numerical aperture of at least 1.25. However, if the condenser and specimen slide are separated by air, the condenser can provide light of only 1.00 N. A. to the specimen since, according to a law of optics, no aperture greater than 1.00 N. A. (this being the refractive index of air), can pass from a denser medium into air. To remedy this situation, an immersion fluid is placed between the top of the condenser and the lower side of the specimen slide as well as between the specimen and the objective lens.

Since no optical medium has an index of refraction greater than 3 and no immersion fluid an index of refraction greater than 1.7, to increase resolving power further, then, might it not be feasible to widen the apertures of the objective and condenser lenses, thus afford-

ing additional illumination for utilization by both specimen and objective? This idea would be entirely practical except for the fact that such enlargement of the lenses would increase aberration, both spherical and chromatic, and apparently present-day lenses are now as highly corrected as it is possible for human ingenuity and skillful workmanship to make them. Spherical aberration, caused by the paraxial rays coming to a focus at the center of the lens before those rays near the principal axis, is corrected by using concave and convex lenses of different material and, consequently, of different refractive index. In this manner spherical aberration of a convex lens, for instance, can be overcome, without its converging action being altered, by adding to the optical system a concave lens in which there is an equal and opposite aberration. Chromatic aberration, occurring when more than one wave length of light is used to illuminate the specimen, is due to the fact that the shortest waves of the spectrum are refracted most and the longest waves least, thus causing the blue-violet waves to come to a focus ahead of the red waves and resulting in a series of colored foci all along the axis. Now since, as we have said, the shortening of the different groups of wave lengths is not in exact proportion to their bending and since this circumstance varies according to the substance the light rays pass through, it is possible to combine lenses or lens systems in such a way that white light may be obtained. For instance, a small concave flint-glass prism produces the same amount of dispersion as a large convex crown-glass prism. Thus, if these two prisms are placed with their edges opposite, the crown glass will bring together the spectrum produced by the flint glass and white light will be the result. However, the rays of white light will not extend parallel with the original direction but will bend toward the base of the crown glass since the mean refraction of the crown glass is greater than that of the flint glass. Achromatic objectives, corrected spherically for one color, chromatically for two; semiapochromatic objectives, possessing moderate refractive indices and very small dispersion, in which a lens of fluorite is substituted for one of the glass lenses; apochromatic objectives, corrected spherically for two colors, chromatically for three; and also certain monochromatic lenses for use with light of one wave length only are available for overcoming, at least in part, one of the conditions which tends to interfere with better resolution. Condensers, also, can be corrected for both spherical and chromatic aberration and must be achromatic-aplanatic if the light which enters the objective is to come only from the specimen, for condensers with spherical and chromatic aberration are unable to direct their entire cone of light upon the specimen.

In addition to being as highly corrected as possible and possessing a large numerical aperture, an objective should also be capable of ade-

quately magnifying the image, being aided in this by the ocular which also serves at times to compensate for the defects in chromatic magnification which cannot be managed conveniently by high-power objectives, the magnification of the final image being the product of the magnification of the objective multiplied by the magnification of the ocular. An amplifier is sometimes inserted between the objective and ocular which causes the rays of light from the objective to diverge to a greater extent, thus doubling the size of the image. Magnification may also be improved by increasing the tube length, by increasing the distance from which the image is projected, and by altering the positions of the various lenses in an adjustable objective. In general, the greater the magnification, the smaller will be the specimen field, but, as has been stressed, high powers of magnification should always be accompanied by equally high powers of resolution.

As we have seen, resolution in the ordinary light microscope is definitely restricted by a number of interrelated elements. Even when monochromatic light is employed, there is always present some spherical aberration with which to contend. True, better visibility of specimens is provided by dark-field microscopy in which the specimen is viewed by the high contrast of its own scattered or reflected light against a dark field, although in this type of illumination objects in the field must be well separated. Much fine detail and brilliant color of specimens can be observed by means of the polarization of light. Further, it is possible to illuminate the specimen with shorter and shorter wave lengths of light, the shorter the wave length of light used, the more of the fine detail of the specimen which can be seen, but a limit is reached here, also, for ordinary glass lenses are not transparent to ultraviolet rays. However, in the ultraviolet microscope, having a resolution twice that of the instruments using "visible light," the condenser, objective, and ocular are all made of quartz and, by substituting the photographic plate for direct observation, many excellent micrographs of numerous varieties of organisms and cellular structures can be made. But when viewed directly, nothing of the nature or structure of the specimen can be ascertained; only the light scattered by the specimen is distinguishable, the size of the specimen being roughly estimated by the amount of light refracted.

These seemingly unsurmountable obstacles of the ordinary microscopes would appear to indicate that Abbe's law and the contention of physicists that "any object which is smaller than one-half the wave length of light by which it is illuminated cannot be seen in its true form or detail" are destined to remain undefied.

#### REDUCTION IN THEORETICAL LIMIT OF RESOLUTION DEMONSTRATED

But Dr. Francis F. Lucas, of the Bell Telephone Research Laboratories, and Drs. Louis Caryl Graton and E. C. Dane, Jr., of the depart-

ment of geology, Harvard University, have very convincingly demonstrated a reduction in these theoretical limits of resolution and visibility with their instruments, designed for use in the visible light region of the spectrum.

The Graton-Dane microscope is mounted on a 360-kg. steel foundation bed which, in turn, is supported by six rubber-in-sheer marine-engine mountings—this for the purpose of eliminating all vibration and insuring stability of parts, two factors upon which both men have laid great stress. Any type source, such as the carbon arc, metallic arc, incandescent filament, Point-O-Lite, mercury vapor, or any of the special forms of monochromators, can be used for illuminating the specimen with direct and dark-field transmitted, vertical and oblique reflected, or polarized light. The image beam itself follows a straight-line path in passing from the objective, the objective ranging anywhere from the shortest to the greatest in working distance, through the tube to the ocular, as few lenses as possible being placed in its way. The spiral-cut rack and pinion which moves the stage and substage assembly in longitudinal tracks or guides can be operated by hand or by an electric motor and is independent of the fine adjustment, also motor-driven, which moves only the objective and the carriage carrying the objective. Whereas manual operation of the fine adjustment which is 100 times more sensitive than that of the ordinary instruments necessitates 500 turns of the knob to move the objective a distance of but 1 millimeter (an adjustment calculated to require a time period of 25 minutes), by means of the motor it is possible to move the objective at the rate of 0.01 mm. per second or 0.004 mm. per second, depending upon which of the two speeds is desired, rapid motion being used when the image appears considerably out of focus and decreased speed being used when the image seems to be reaching a point of perfection.<sup>2</sup>

Resolution up to 6,000 diameters and magnification up to 50,000 diameters have been achieved with this high-precision microscope which photographs or enables observation of both opaque and transparent preparations; in fact, polishing scratches measuring in width but one-tenth the wave length of light used have been clearly distin-

---

<sup>2</sup> The mechanism governing the fine adjustment was completely redesigned after it was discovered that changes in the lubricant, used for gear threads and carriage bearings, seriously affected the precision of the instrument. Using a principle suggested to him by R. W. Vose, formerly of the Harvard Engineering School, Dr. Dane built and assembled a new fine-adjustment drive so designed that, as Dr. Graton describes it, "all that part of the mechanism which actuates the slowest, and therefore the most sensitive, part of the motion operates not through gears or screws, but through the differential flexing of a train of spring-bronze strips, which have the double advantage of avoiding all chance for play or backlash and of needing no lubrication whatever. Interferometer tests with the new element in place give practically ideal readings as compared with the theoretical: the deviations are very much smaller than those recorded in our original paper, page 372. The operation of the fine-focusing mechanism selectively by hand knob or by motor-drive, and the slowness of motion, and hence the precise control over focus are the same in the new design as in the old."

guished. It is the opinion of both Dr. Graton and Dr. Dane that some present-day lenses are really capable of better resolution than claimed for them by their manufacturers, it having been their experience to use objectives exhibiting superior qualities of resolution over those of identical medium and numerical aperture, proving that not only have already available lenses surpassed their theoretical limits of resolution, indicating that it might be possible to design objectives with still greater numerical apertures, but that the accepted theory regarding this resolution is sadly in need of revision. Dr. Lucas's microscope utilizing an objective with a numerical aperture of 1.60, for instance, in combination with monobromnaphthalene immersion fluid, also yields resolution up to 6,000 diameters being, like the Graton-Dane scope, a high-precision instrument constructed with the idea of maintaining absolute stability of parts. Dr. Lucas also has expressed doubt as to the complete validity of the generally accepted theory of resolution.

In working with a high-precision ultraviolet microcamera, into which a tricolor filter system has been incorporated, which he has just recently perfected, Dr. Lucas is able to obtain a minimum magnification of 30,000 diameters and a maximum magnification of 60,000 diameters. With this instrument it is possible to view living cells and organisms, no staining or killing of organisms being necessary, and Dr. Lucas has succeeded in obtaining excellent photomicrographs (both still and motion pictures). Of special significance to industry, for instance, is the ability of this scope to demonstrate the size, shape, and reactions in motion and affinity of the tiny particles of which rubber is composed under varying conditions of temperature, etc., while its ability to reveal living rat and mouse sarcoma and carcinoma cells and to demonstrate the development and behavior of the syphilitic organism is of far more than average interest to medical science.

England's Dr. J. E. Barnard has succeeded in obtaining resolution up to 7,500 diameters with his ultra-dark-field scope in which he uses a combined illuminator. In this, an outer system of glass acts as the immersion dark-field illuminator while the inner immersion system of quartz makes possible the passage of a transmitted beam of light through the specimen. Both condensers have the same focus, one for visible light, the other for ultraviolet radiation, and both can be stopped out at will. When, for instance, bacteria are being observed, immersion contact is made between the condenser and quartz slide, the dark-field illuminator being used, thus revealing the bacteria with visible light. When the dark-field illuminator is closed, however, a beam of ultraviolet light may be directed up through the quartz condenser and focused on the bacteria. The object-glass, of course, has to be adjusted since it does not possess the same focus for ultraviolet



that it does for visible light. Staining of specimens is thus unnecessary, making it possible to secure photomicrographs of living minute organisms.

In addition to these four microscopes, a fourth, belonging to the Canadian Department of Mines and located at Ottawa, and almost identical in principle and construction to that of Drs. Dane and Graton, has demonstrated ability to attain equally high resolution. This, like the scopes of Drs. Dane, Graton, and Lucas, is fitted with a tube for visual observation although intended mainly for microphotographical work in the field of metallurgy. It is Dr. Graton's belief, however, that his instrument and that of Dr. Dane might also be adaptable to the purposes of biological research. Referring, in the description of their "Precision, All Purpose Micro-camera" (*Journ. Opt. Soc. Amer.*), to the necessity or "desirability" of "reëxamining the classical conception of the limit of useful magnification," Drs. Dane and Graton have this to say:

So long as the makers accepted the conventional limit as valid and had already attained it, there was little incentive toward progress. But with that limit apparently surpassed, there is no present knowledge as to how far ahead the true limit may lie. If present-day objectives do substantially better than the "limit" for which they were designed, is it not reasonable to suppose that effort to do better still may conceivably be rewarded?

To such an inquiry there can be but one logical answer—an agreement which, while perhaps not concurred in by all, must, for those stimulated to more intense interest and effort by the possibilities of uncovering new facts, pose further questions; for, if the improvement of one part results in the improved performance of the whole, is it not also reasonable to suppose that additional changes of additional parts, yes, even changes with respect to principle and method might likewise bear fruit?

#### THE UNIVERSAL MICROSCOPE

It is not only a reasonable supposition, but already, in one instance, a very successful and highly commendable achievement on the part of Dr. Royal Raymond Rife of San Diego, Calif., who, for many years, has built and worked with light microscopes which far surpass the theoretical limitations of the ordinary variety of instrument, all the Rife scopes possessing superior ability to attain high magnification with accompanying high resolution. The largest and most powerful of these, the universal microscope, developed in 1933, consists of 5,682 parts and is so called because of its adaptability in all fields of microscopical work, being fully equipped with separate substage condenser units for transmitted and monochromatic beam, dark-field, polarized, and slit-ultra illumination, including also a special device for crystallography. The entire optical system of lenses and prisms as well as

the illuminating units are made of block-crystal quartz, quartz being especially transparent to ultraviolet radiations.

The illuminating unit used for examining the filterable forms of disease organisms contains 14 lenses and prisms, 3 of which are in the high-intensity incandescent lamp, 4 in the Risley prism, and 7 in the achromatic condenser which, incidentally, has a numerical aperture of 1.40. Between the source of light and the specimen are subtended two circular, wedge-shaped, block-crystal quartz prisms for the purpose of polarizing the light passing through the specimen, polarization being the practical application of the theory that light waves vibrate in all planes perpendicular to the direction in which they are propagated. Therefore, when light comes into contact with a polarizing prism, it is divided or split into two beams, one of which is refracted to such an extent that it is reflected to the side of the prism without, of course, passing through the prism while the second ray, bent considerably less, is thus enabled to pass through the prism to illuminate the specimen. When the quartz prisms on the universal microscope, which may be rotated with vernier control through  $360^\circ$ , are rotated in opposite directions, they serve to bend the transmitted beams of light at variable angles of incidence while, at the same time, a spectrum is projected up into the axis of the microscope, or rather a small portion of a spectrum since only a part of a band of color is visible at any one time. However, it is possible to proceed in this way from one end of the spectrum to the other, going all the way from the infrared to the ultraviolet. Now, when that portion of the spectrum is reached in which both the organism and the color band vibrate in exact accord, one with the other, a definite characteristic spectrum is emitted by the organism. In the case of the filter-passing form of the *Bacillus typhosus*, for instance, a blue spectrum is emitted and the plane of polarization deviated plus  $4.8^\circ$ . The predominating chemical constituents of the organism are next ascertained after which the quartz prisms are adjusted or set, by means of vernier control, to minus  $4.8^\circ$  (again in the case of the filter-passing form of the *Bacillus typhosus*) so that the opposite angle of refraction may be obtained. A monochromatic beam of light, corresponding exactly to the frequency of the organism (for Dr. Rife has found that each disease organism responds to and has a definite and distinct wave length, a fact confirmed by British medical research workers) is then sent up through the specimen and the direct transmitted light, thus enabling the observer to view the organism stained in its true chemical color and revealing its own individual structure in a field which is brilliant with light.

The objectives used on the universal microscope are a 1.12 dry lens, a 1.16 water immersion, a 1.18 oil immersion, and a 1.25 oil immersion. The rays of light refracted by the specimen enter the objective and are

then carried up the tube in parallel rays through 21 light bends to the ocular, a tolerance of less than one wave length of visible light only being permitted in the core beam, or chief ray, of illumination. Now, instead of the light rays starting up the tube in a parallel fashion, tending to converge as they rise higher and finally crossing each other, arriving at the ocular separated by considerable distance as would be the case with an ordinary microscope, in the universal tube the rays also start their rise parallel to each other but, just as they are about to cross, a specially designed quartz prism is inserted which serves to pull them out parallel again, another prism being inserted each time the rays are about ready to cross. These prisms, inserted in the tube, which are adjusted and held in alignment by micrometer screws of 100 threads to the inch in special tracks made of magnesium (magnesium having the closest coefficient of expansion of any metal to quartz), are separated by a distance of only 30 millimeters. Thus, the greatest distance that the image in the universal is projected through any one media, either quartz or air, is 30 millimeters instead of the 160, 180, or 190 millimeters as in the empty or air-filled tube of an ordinary microscope, the total distance which the light rays travel zigzag fashion through the universal tube being 449 millimeters, although the physical length of the tube itself is 229 millimeters. It will be recalled that if one pierces a black strip of paper or cardboard with the point of a needle and then brings the card up close to the eye so that the hole is in the optic axis, a small brilliantly lighted object will appear larger and clearer, revealing more fine detail, than if it were viewed from the same distance without the assistance of the card. This is explained by the fact that the beam of light passing through the card is very narrow, the rays entering the eye, therefore, being practically parallel, whereas without the card the beam of light is much wider and the diffusion circles much larger. It is this principle of parallel rays in the universal microscope and the resultant shortening of projection distance between any two blocks or prisms plus the fact that objectives can thus be substituted for oculars, these "oculars" being three matched pairs of 10-millimeter, 7-millimeter, and 4-millimeter objectives in short mounts, which make possible not only the unusually high magnification and resolution but which serve to eliminate all distortion as well as all chromatic and spherical aberration.

Quartz slides with especially thin quartz cover glasses are used when a tissue section or culture slant is examined, the tissue section itself also being very thin. An additional observational tube and ocular which yield a magnification of 1,800 diameters are provided so that that portion of the specimen which it is desired should be examined may be located and so that the observer can adjust himself more readily when viewing a section at a high magnification.

The universal stage is a double rotating stage graduated through  $360^\circ$  in quarter-minute arc divisions, the upper segment carrying the mechanical stage having a movement of  $40^\circ$ , the body assembly which can be moved horizontally over the condenser also having an angular tilt of  $40^\circ$  plus or minus. Heavily constructed joints and screw adjustments maintain rigidity of the microscope which weighs 200 pounds and stands 24 inches high, the bases of the scope being nickel cast-steel plates, accurately surfaced, and equipped with three leveling screws and two spirit levels set at angles of  $90^\circ$ . The coarse adjustment, a block thread screw with 40 threads to the inch, slides in a  $1\frac{1}{2}$  dovetail which gibs directly onto the pillar post. The weight of the quadruple nosepiece and the objective system is taken care of by the intermediate adjustment at the top of the body tube. The stage, in conjunction with a hydraulic lift, acts as a lever in operating the fine adjustment. A 6-gauge screw having 100 threads to the inch is worked through a gland into a hollow, glycerine-filled post, the glycerine being displaced and replaced at will as the screw is turned clockwise or anticlockwise, allowing a 5-to-1 ratio on the lead screw. This, accordingly, assures complete absence of drag and inertia. The fine adjustment being 700 times more sensitive than that of ordinary microscopes, the length of time required to focus the universal ranges up to  $1\frac{1}{2}$  hours which, while on first consideration, may seem a disadvantage, is after all but a slight inconvenience when compared with the many years of research and the hundreds of thousands of dollars spent and being spent in an effort to isolate and to look upon disease-causing organisms in their true form.

Working together back in 1931 and using one of the smaller Rife microscopes having a magnification and resolution of 17,000 diameters, Dr. Rife and Dr. Arthur Isaac Kendall, of the department of bacteriology of Northwestern University Medical School, were able to observe and demonstrate the presence of the filter-passing forms of *Bacillus typhosus*. An agar slant culture of the Rawlings strain of *Bacillus typhosus* was first prepared by Dr. Kendall and inoculated into 6 cc. of "Kendall" K Medium, a medium rich in protein but poor in peptone and consisting of 100 mg. of dried hog intestine and 6 cc. of tyrode solution (containing neither glucose nor glycerine) which mixture is shaken well so as to moisten the dried intestine powder and then sterilized in the autoclave, 15 pounds for 15 minutes, alterations of the medium being frequently necessary depending upon the requirements for different organisms. Now, after a period of 18 hours in this K Medium, the culture was passed through a Berkefeld "N" filter, a drop of the filtrate being added to another 6 cc. of K Medium and incubated at  $37^\circ$  C. Forty-eight hours later this same process was repeated, the "N" filter again being used, after which it was noted

that the culture no longer responded to peptone medium, growing now only in the protein medium. When again, within 24 hours, the culture was passed through a filter—the finest Berkefeld "W" filter, a drop of the filtrate was once more added to 6 cc. of K Medium and incubated at 37° C., a period of 3 days elapsing before the culture was transferred to K Medium and yet another 3 days before a new culture was prepared. Then, viewed under an ordinary microscope, these cultures were observed to be turbid and to reveal no bacilli whatsoever. When viewed by means of dark-field illumination and oil-immersion lens, however, the presence of small, actively motile granules was established, although nothing at all of their individual structure could be ascertained. Another period of 4 days was allowed to elapse before these cultures were transferred to K Medium and incubated at 37° C. for 24 hours when they were then examined under the Rife microscope where, as was mentioned earlier, the filterable typhoid bacilli, emitting a blue spectrum, caused the plane of polarization to be deviated plus 4.8°. Then when the opposite angle of refraction was obtained by means of adjusting the polarizing prisms to minus 4.8° and the cultures illuminated by a monochromatic beam coordinated in frequency with the chemical constituents of the typhoid bacillus, small, oval, actively motile, bright turquoise-blue bodies were observed at a magnification of 5,000 diameters, in high contrast to the colorless and motionless debris of the medium. These observations were repeated eight times, the complete absence of these bodies in uninoculated control K Media also being noted.

To further confirm their findings, Drs. Rife and Kendall next examined 18-hour-old cultures which had been inoculated into K Medium and incubated at 37° C., since it is just at this stage of growth in this medium and at this temperature that the cultures become filterable. And, just as had been anticipated, ordinary dark-field examination revealed unchanged, long, actively motile bacilli; bacilli having granules within their substance; and free-swimming, actively motile granules; while under the Rife microscope were demonstrated the same long, unchanged, almost colorless bacilli; bacilli, practically colorless, inside and at one end of which was a turquoise-blue granule resembling the filterable forms of the typhoid bacillus; and free-swimming, small, oval, actively motile, turquoise-blue granules. By transplanting the cultures of the filter-passing organisms or virus into a broth, they were seen to change over again into their original rodlike forms.

At the same time that these findings of Drs. Rife and Kendall were confirmed by Dr. Edward C. Rosenow, of the Mayo Foundation, the magnification with accompanying resolution of 8,000 diameters of the Rife microscope, operated by Dr. Rife, was checked against a dark-

field oil-immersion scope operated by Dr. Kendall and an ordinary 2-mm. oil-immersion objective,  $\times 10$  ocular, Zeiss scope operated by Dr. Rosenow at a magnification of 900 diameters. Examinations of gram- and safranin-stained films of cultures of *Bacillus typhosus*, gram- and safranin-stained films of cultures of the streptococcus from poliomyelitis, and stained films of blood and of the sediment of the spinal fluid from a case of acute poliomyelitis were made with the result that bacilli, streptococci, erythrocytes, polymorphonuclear leukocytes, and lymphocytes measuring nine times the diameter of the same specimens observed under the Zeiss scope at a magnification and resolution of 900 diameters, were revealed with unusual clarity. Seen under the dark-field microscope were moving bodies presumed to be the filterable turquoise-blue bodies of the typhoid bacillus which, as Dr. Rosenow has declared in his report (Observations on filter-passing forms of *Eberthella typhi*—*Bacillus typhosus*—and of the streptococcus from poliomyelitis, Proc. Staff Meetings Mayo Clinic, July 13, 1932), were so "unmistakably demonstrated" with the Rife microscope, while under the Zeiss scope stained and hanging-drop preparations of clouded filtrate cultures were found to be uniformly negative. With the Rife microscope also were demonstrated brownish-gray cocci and diplococci in hanging-drop preparations of the filtrates of streptococcus from poliomyelitis. These cocci and diplococci, similar in size and shape to those seen in the cultures although of more uniform intensity, and characteristic of the medium in which they had been cultivated, were surrounded by a clear halo about twice the width of that at the margins of the debris and of the *Bacillus typhosus*. Stained films of filtrates and filtrate sediments examined under the Zeiss microscope, and hanging-drop, dark-field preparations revealed no organisms, however. Brownish-gray cocci and diplococci of the exact same size and density as those observed in the filtrates of the streptococcus cultures were also revealed in hanging-drop preparations of the virus of poliomyelitis under the Rife microscope, while no organisms at all could be seen in either the stained films of filtrates and filtrate sediments examined with the Zeiss scope or in hanging-drop preparations examined by means of the dark-field. Again using the Rife microscope at a magnification of 8,000 diameters, numerous nonmotile cocci and diplococci of a bright-to-pale pink in color were seen in hanging-drop preparations of filtrates of Herpes encephalitic virus. Although these were observed to be comparatively smaller than the cocci and diplococci of the streptococcus and poliomyelitic viruses, they were shown to be of fairly even density, size, and form and surrounded by a halo. Again, both the dark-field and Zeiss scopes failed to reveal any organisms, and none of the three microscopes disclosed the

presence of such diplococci in hanging-drop preparations of the filtrate of a normal rabbit brain. Dr. Rosenow has since revealed these organisms with the ordinary microscope at a magnification of 1,000 diameters by means of his special staining method and with the electron microscope at a magnification of 12,000 diameters. Dr. Rosenow has expressed the opinion that the inability to see these and other similarly revealed organisms is due, not necessarily to the minuteness of the organisms, but rather to the fact that they are of a nonstaining, hyaline structure. Results with the Rife microscopes, he thinks, are due to the "ingenious methods employed rather than to 'excessively high magnification.'" He has declared also, in the report mentioned previously, that "Examination under the Rife microscope of specimens containing objects visible with the ordinary microscope, leaves no doubt of the accurate visualization of objects or particulate matter by direct observation at the extremely high magnification obtained with this instrument."

Exceedingly high powers of magnification with accompanying high powers of resolution may be realized with all of the Rife microscopes, one of which, having magnification and resolution up to 18,000 diameters, is now being used at the British School of Tropical Medicine in England. In a recent demonstration of another of the smaller Rife scopes (May 16, 1942) before a group of doctors including Dr. J. H. Renner, of Santa Barbara, Calif.; Dr. Roger A. Schmidt, of San Francisco, Calif.; Dr. Lois Bronson Slade, of Alameda, Calif.; Dr. Lucile B. Larkin, of Bellingham, Wash.; Dr. E. F. Larkin, of Bellingham, Wash.; and Dr. W. J. Gier, of San Diego, Calif., a Zeiss ruled grading was examined, first under an ordinary commercial microscope equipped with a 1.8 high dry lens and  $\times 10$  ocular, and then under the Rife microscope. Whereas 50 lines were revealed with the commercial instrument and considerable aberration, both chromatic and spherical noted, only 5 lines were seen with the Rife scope, these 5 lines being so highly magnified that they occupied the entire field, without any aberration whatsoever being apparent. Dr. Renner, in a discussion of his observations, stated that "The entire field to its very edges and across the center had a uniform clearness that was *not* true in the conventional instrument." Following the examination of the grading, an ordinary unstained blood film was observed under the same two microscopes. In this instance, 100 cells were seen to spread throughout the field of the commercial instrument while but 10 cells filled the field of the Rife scope.

The universal microscope, of course, is the most powerful Rife scope, possessing a resolution of 31,000 diameters and magnification of 60,000 diameters. With this it is possible to view the interior of the

"pin-point" cells, those cells situated between the normal tissue cells and just visible under the ordinary microscope, and to observe the smaller cells which compose the interior of these pin-point cells. When one of these smaller cells is magnified, still smaller cells are seen within its structure. And when one of the still smaller cells, in its turn, is magnified, it, too, is seen to be composed of smaller cells. Each of the 16 times this process of magnification and resolution can be repeated, it is demonstrated that there are smaller cells within the smaller cells, a fact which amply testifies as to the magnification and resolving power obtainable with the universal microscope.

More than 20,000 laboratory cultures of carcinoma were grown and studied over a period of 7 years by Dr. Rife and his assistants in what, at the time, appeared to be a fruitless effort to isolate the filter-passing form, or virus, which Dr. Rife believed to be present in this condition. Then, in 1932, the reactions in growth of bacterial cultures to light from the rare gasses was observed, indicating a new approach to the problem. Accordingly, blocks of tissue one-half centimeter square, taken from an un ulcerated breast carcinoma, were placed in triple-sterilized K Medium and these cultures incubated at 37° C. When no results were forthcoming, the culture tubes were placed in a circular glass loop filled with argon gas to a pressure of 14 millimeters, and a current of 5,000 volts applied for 24 hours, after which the tubes were placed in a 2-inch water vacuum and incubated at 37° C. for 24 hours. Using a specially designed 1.12 dry lens, equal in amplitude of magnification to the 2-mm. apochromatic oil-immersion lens, the cultures were then examined under the universal microscope, at a magnification of 10,000 diameters, where very much animated, purplish-red, filterable forms, measuring less than one-twentieth of a micron in dimension, were observed. Carried through 14 transplants from K Medium to K Medium, this B. X. virus remained constant; inoculated into 426 Albino rats, tumors "with all the true pathology of neoplastic tissue" were developed. Experiments conducted in the Rife Laboratories have established the fact that these characteristic diplococci are found in the blood monocytes in 92 percent of all cases of neoplastic diseases. It has also been demonstrated that the virus of cancer, like the viruses of other diseases, can be easily changed from one form to another by means of altering the media upon which it is grown. With the first change in media, the B. X. virus becomes considerably enlarged although its purplish-red color remains unchanged. Observation of the organism with an ordinary microscope is made possible by a second alteration of the media. A third change is undergone upon asparagus base media where the B. X. virus is transformed from its filterable state into cryptomyces pleomorphia



fungi, these fungi being identical morphologically both macroscopically and microscopically to that of the orchid and of the mushroom. And yet a fourth change may be said to take place when this cryptomyces pleomorphia, permitted to stand as a stock culture for the period of metastasis, becomes the well-known mahogany-colored *Bacillus coli*.

It is Dr. Rife's belief that all micro-organisms fall into 1 of not more than 10 individual groups (Dr. Rosenow has stated that some of the viruses belong to the group of the streptococcus), and that any alteration of artificial media or slight metabolic variation in tissues will induce an organism of one group to change over into any other organism included in that same group, it being possible, incidentally, to carry such changes in media or tissues to the point where the organisms fail to respond to standard laboratory methods of diagnosis. These changes can be made to take place in as short a period of time as 48 hours. For instance, by altering the media—4 parts per million per volume—the pure culture of mahogany-colored *Bacillus coli* becomes the turquoise-blue *Bacillus typhosus*. Viruses or primordial cells of organisms which would ordinarily require an 8-week incubation period to attain their filterable state, have been shown to produce disease within 3 days' time, proving Dr. Rife's contention that the incubation period of a micro-organism is really only a cycle of reversion. He states:

In reality, it is not the bacteria themselves that produce the disease, but we believe it is the chemical constituents of these micro-organisms enacting upon the unbalanced cell metabolism of the human body that in actuality produce the disease. We also believe if the metabolism of the human body is perfectly balanced or poised, it is susceptible to no disease.

In other words, the human body itself is chemical in nature, being comprised of many chemical elements which provide the media upon which the wealth of bacteria normally present in the human system feed. These bacteria are able to reproduce. They, too, are composed of chemicals. Therefore, if the media upon which they feed, in this instance the chemicals or some portion of the chemicals of the human body, become changed from the normal, it stands to reason that these same bacteria, or at least certain numbers of them, will also undergo a change chemically since they are now feeding upon media which are not normal to them, perhaps being supplied with too much or too little of what they need to maintain a normal existence. They change, passing usually through several stages of growth, emerging finally as some entirely new entity—as different morphologically as are the caterpillar and the butterfly (to use an illustration given us). The majority of the viruses have been definitely revealed as living organisms, foreign organisms it is true, but which once were normal inhab-

itants of the human body—living entities of a chemical nature or composition.

Under the universal microscope disease organisms such as those of tuberculosis, cancer, sarcoma, streptococcus, typhoid, staphylococcus, leprosy, hoof and mouth disease, and others may be observed to succumb when exposed to certain lethal frequencies, coordinated with the particular frequencies peculiar to each individual organism, and directed upon them by rays covering a wide range of waves. By means of a camera attachment and a motion-picture camera not built into the instrument, many "still" micrographs as well as hundreds of feet of motion-picture film bear witness to the complete life cycles of numerous organisms. It should be emphasized, perhaps, that invariably the same organisms refract the same colors when stained by means of the monochromatic beam of illumination on the universal microscope, regardless of the media upon which they are grown. The virus of the *Bacillus typhosus* is always a turquoise blue, the *Bacillus coli* always mahogany colored, the *Mycobacterium leprae* always a ruby shade, the filter-passing form or virus of tuberculosis always an emerald green, the virus of cancer always a purplish red, and so on. Thus, with the aid of this microscope, it is possible to reveal the typhoid organism, for instance, in the blood of a suspected typhoid patient 4 and 5 days before a Widal is positive. When it is desired to observe the flagella of the typhoid organism, Hg salts are used as the medium to see at a magnification of 10,000 diameters.

In the light of the amazing results obtainable with this universal microscope and its smaller brother scopes, there can be no doubt of the ability of these instruments to actually reveal any and all microorganisms according to their individual structure and chemical constituents.

With the aid of its new eyes—the new microscopes, all of which are continually being improved—science has at last penetrated beyond the boundary of accepted theory and into the world of the viruses with the result that we can look forward to discovering new treatments and methods of combating the deadly organisms—for science does not rest.

To Dr. Karl K. Darrow, Dr. John A. Kolmer, Dr. William P. Lang, Dr. L. Marton, Dr. J. H. Renner, Dr. Royal R. Rife, Dr. Edward C. Rosenow, Dr. Arthur W. Yale, and Dr. V. K. Zworykin, we wish to express our appreciation for the help and information so kindly given us and to express our gratitude, also, for the interest shown in this effort of bringing to the attention of more of the medical profession the possibilities offered by the new microscopes.

## BIBLIOGRAPHY

ANDERSON, T. F., and STANLEY, W. M.

1941. A study by means of the electron microscope of the reaction between tobacco mosaic virus and its antiserum. *Journ. Biol. Chem.*, vol. 139, No. 1, pp. 339-444.

ANDEADE, E. N. DAC.

1932. *The mechanism of nature*. G. Bell & Sons, Ltd., London.

BATEMAN, J. B., CALKINS, H. E., and CHAMBERS, L. A.

1941. Optical study of the reaction between transferred monolayers of Lancefield's "M" substance and various antisera. *Journ. Immunol.*, vol. 41, pp. 321-341.

BURTON, E. F.

1940. The electron microscope. *Proc. Roy. Canadian Inst.*, vol. 5, ser. 3A, Feb. 10.

DARROW, KARL K.

1939. *The renaissance of physics*. Macmillan Co.

DUNN, H. H.

1931. Movie new eye of microscope in war on germs. *Pop. Sci.*, vol. 118, p. 27, June.

ELDRIDGE, JOHN A.

1934. *The physical basis of things*.

GAGE, SIMON HENRY.

- 1936-1941. *The microscope*. 16th and 17th ed. Comstock Publ. Co., Inc.

GRATON, L. C., and DANE, E. C.

1937. Precision, all purpose microcamera. *Journ. Opt. Soc. Amer.*, vol. 27, pp. 355-376, November.

HARDY, ARTHUR C., and PERRIN, FRED H.

1932. *The principles of optics*. 1st ed. McGraw Hill Book Co., Inc.

HEKTOEN, LUDVIG, MATHERS, GEORGE, and JACKSON, LELLA.

1918. Microscopic demonstration of cocci in the central nervous system in epidemic poliomyelitis. *Journ. Infect. Dis.*, vol. 22, pp. 89-94.

HILLIER, J., and VANCE, A. W.

1941. Recent developments in the R. C. A. electron microscope. *Proc. Inst. Radio Eng.*, vol. 29, No. 4, pp. 167-176.

HOUSTON, W. V.

1940. Telescopes and microscopes. *Amer. Scholar*, vol. 9, No. 1, pp. 63-73.

KENDALL, ARTHUR ISAAC.

1932. Filtration of bacteria; studies in bacterial metabolism. *Science*, vol. 75, pp. 295-301, Mar. 18.

KILLEFFER, D. H.

1940. Supermicroscopes. *Rotarian*, vol. 57, p. 47, August.

LUCAS, F. F.

1933. A precision high power metallographic apparatus. *Trans. Amer. Soc. Steel Treat.*, vol. 21, pp. 1112-1134.

1934. Late developments in microscopy. *Journ. Franklin Inst.*, vol. 217, pp. 661-707, June.

LUCAS, FRANCIS F., and STARK, MARY B.

1931. A study of living germ cells by means of the ultra-violet microscope. *Journ. Morph.*, vol. 52, pp. 91-115.

MALOFF, I. G., and EPSTEIN, D. W.

1938. *Electron optics*.

MARTIN, L. C.

1938. The electron microscope. *Nature*, vol. 142, p. 1062, Dec. 17.

## MARTON, L.

1934. Electron microscopy of biological objects. *Nature*, vol. 133, No. 3372, p. 911.

1940. A new electron microscope. *Phys. Rev.*, vol. 58, pp. 57-60.

1941. The electron microscope: a new tool for bacteriological research. *Journ. Bacteriol.*, vol. 41, pp. 397-413, March.

## MARTON, L., BANCA, M. C., and BENDER, J. F.

1940. A new electron microscope. *R. C. A. Rev.*, vol. 5, No. 2, pp. 232-242, October.

## McMILLEN, J. HOWARD, and SCOTT, GORDON H.

1937. A magnetic electron microscope of simple design. *Rev. Sci. Instr.*, vol. 8, No. 8, pp. 288-290.

## MILLMAN, JACOB, and SEELY, SAMUEL.

1941. *Electronics*. 1st ed. McGraw Hill Book Co., Inc.

## MORTON, G. A.

1941. A survey of research accomplishments with the R. C. A. electron microscope. *R. C. A. Rev.*, vol. 6, No. 2, pp. 131-166, October.

## MORTON, G. A., and RAMBERG, E. G.

1939. Point projector electron microscope. *Phys. Rev.*, vol. 56, p. 705.

## MORTON, HARRY E., and ANDERSON, THOMAS F.

1941. Electron microscopic studies of biological reactions. 1. Reduction of potassium tellurite by *Corynebacterium diphtheriae*. *Proc. Soc. Exper. Biol. and Med.*, vol. 46, pp. 272-276.

## MOULLIN, C. M.

1940. What is cancer? A biologist's answer. *Med. Press*, vol. 203, pp. 512-514, June 10.

## MOULTON, F. R.

1939. The electron microscope. *Scientific Monthly*, vol. 49, pp. 189-192, August.

## MUDD, STUART, and ANDERSON, THOMAS F.

1941. Demonstration by the electron microscope of the combination of antibodies with flagellar and somatic antigens. *Journ. Immunol.*, vol. 42, No. 3, pp. 251-266, November.

## MUDD, STUART, and LACKMAN, DAVID B.

1941. Bacterial morphology as shown by the electron microscope. *Journ. Bacteriol.*, vol. 41, No. 3, pp. 414-420, March.

## MUDD, STUART, POLEVITZKY, K., ANDERSON, T. F., and CHAMBERS, L. A.

1941. Bacterial morphology as shown by the electron microscope. II. The bacterial cell membrane in the genus *Bacillus*. *Journ. Bacteriol.*, vol. 42, pp. 251-264, August.

## PREBUS, A., and HILLIER, J.

1939. The construction of a magnetic electron microscope of high resolving power. *Canadian Journ. Res.*, vol. 17, pp. 49-63.

## RAMBERG, E. G., and MORTON, G. A.

1939. Electron optics. *Journ. Appl. Phys.*, vol. 10, pp. 465-478.

## RHEA, H. E.

1941. The new electron microscope. *Science*, n. s., vol. 93, suppl. 12, Jan. 3.

1941a. Scientific apparatus and laboratory methods. *Science*, n. s., vol. 93, pp. 357-358, Apr. 11.

## RIFE, ROYAL RAYMOND, and KENDALL, ARTHUR ISAAC.

1931. Observations on *Bacillus typhosus* in its filterable state. *California and Western Med.*, vol. 35, pp. 409-411, December.

## ROSENOW, E. C.

1932. Observations on filter-passing forms of *Eberthella typhi* (*Bacillus typhosus*) and of the streptococcus from pollomyelitis. Proc. Staff Meet. Mayo Clinic, vol. 7, pp. 408-413, July.
- 1932a. Observations with the Rife microscope of filter-passing forms of microorganisms. Science, n. s., vol. 76, pp. 192-193, Aug. 26.
1942. Microdiplococci in filtrates of natural and experimental poliomyelitis virus compared under the electron and light microscopes. Proc. Staff Meet. Mayo Clinic, vol. 17, No. 7, pp. 99-106, Feb. 18.

## SMITH, THEODORE A.

1941. The electron microscope. Scientific Monthly, vol. 52, pp. 337-341, April.

## SOUTHALL, JAMES P. C.

1933. Mirrors, prisms, and lenses. 3d ed. Macmillan Co.

## STANLEY, W. M., and ANDERSON, T. F.

1941. A study of purified viruses with the electron microscope. Journ. Biol. Chem., vol. 139, No. 1, pp. 325-338.

## VANCE, A. W.

1941. Stable power supplied for electron microscopes. R. C. A. Rev., vol. 5, No. 3, pp. 293-300, January.

## WILE, UDO J., PICARD, ROBERT G., and HEARNEY, EDNA B.

1942. The morphology of *Spirochaeta pallida* in the electron microscope. Journ. Amer. Med. Assoc., vol. 119, pp. 880-881, July 11.

## ZWORYKIN, V. K.

1940. An electron microscope for the research laboratory. Science, n. s., vol. 92, pp. 51-53, July 19.
- 1940a. Electrons extend the range. Electr. Eng., vol. 59, pp. 441-443, November.

## ZWORYKIN, V. K., HILLIER, J., and VANCE, A. W.

1941. An electron microscope for practical laboratory service. Electr. Eng., vol. 60, pp. 157-162.
- 1941a. Preliminary report on the development of a 300-kilovolt magnetic electron microscope. Journ. Appl. Phys., vol. 12, No. 10, pp. 738-742, October.

## ZWORYKIN, V. K., and RAMBERG, E. G.

1941. Surface studies with the electron microscope. Journ. Appl. Phys., vol. 12, No. 9, pp. 692-695, September.

## MARTON, L.

1934. Electron microscopy of biological objects. *Nature*, vol. 133, No. 3372, p. 911.

1940. A new electron microscope. *Phys. Rev.*, vol. 58, pp. 57-60.

1941. The electron microscope: a new tool for bacteriological research. *Journ. Bacteriol.*, vol. 41, pp. 397-413, March.

## MARTON, L., BANCA, M. C., and BENDER, J. F.

1940. A new electron microscope. *R. C. A. Rev.*, vol. 5, No. 2, pp. 232-242, October.

## MCMILLEN, J. HOWARD, and SCOTT, GORDON H.

1937. A magnetic electron microscope of simple design. *Rev. Sci. Instr.*, vol. 8, No. 8, pp. 288-290.

## MILLMAN, JACOB, and SEELY, SAMUEL.

1941. *Electronics*. 1st ed. McGraw Hill Book Co., Inc.

## MORTON, G. A.

1941. A survey of research accomplishments with the R. C. A. electron microscope. *R. C. A. Rev.*, vol. 6, No. 2, pp. 131-166, October.

## MORTON, G. A., and RAMBERG, E. G.

1939. Point projector electron microscope. *Phys. Rev.*, vol. 56, p. 705.

## MORTON, HARRY E., and ANDERSON, THOMAS F.

1941. Electron microscopic studies of biological reactions. 1. Reduction of potassium tellurite by *Corynebacterium diphtheriae*. *Proc. Soc. Exper. Biol. and Med.*, vol. 46, pp. 272-276.

## MOULLIN, C. M.

1940. What is cancer? A biologist's answer. *Med. Press*, vol. 203, pp. 512-514, June 10.

## MOULTON, F. R.

1939. The electron microscope. *Scientific Monthly*, vol. 49, pp. 189-192, August.

## MUDD, STUART, and ANDERSON, THOMAS F.

1941. Demonstration by the electron microscope of the combination of antibodies with flagellar and somatic antigens. *Journ. Immunol.*, vol. 42, No. 3, pp. 251-266, November.

## MUDD, STUART, and LACKMAN, DAVID B.

1941. Bacterial morphology as shown by the electron microscope. *Journ. Bacteriol.*, vol. 41, No. 3, pp. 414-420, March.

## MUDD, STUART, POLEVITZKY, K., ANDERSON, T. F., and CHAMBERS, L. A.

1941. Bacterial morphology as shown by the electron microscope. II. The bacterial cell membrane in the genus *Bacillus*. *Journ. Bacteriol.*, vol. 42, pp. 251-264, August.

## PREBUS, A., and HILLIER, J.

1939. The construction of a magnetic electron microscope of high resolving power. *Canadian Journ. Res.*, vol. 17, pp. 49-63.

## RAMBERG, E. G., and MORTON, G. A.

1939. Electron optics. *Journ. Appl. Phys.*, vol. 10, pp. 465-478.

## RHEA, H. E.

1941. The new electron microscope. *Science*, n. s., vol. 93, suppl. 12, Jan. 3.

1941a. Scientific apparatus and laboratory methods. *Science*, n. s., vol. 93, pp. 357-358, Apr. 11.

## RIFE, ROYAL RAYMOND, and KENDALL, ARTHUR ISAAC.

1931. Observations on *Bacillus typhosus* in its filterable state. *California and Western Med.*, vol. 35, pp. 409-411, December.

ROSENOW, E. C.

1932. Observations on filter-passing forms of *Eberthella typhi* (*Bacillus typhosus*) and of the streptococcus from poliomyelitis. Proc. Staff Meet. Mayo Clinic, vol. 7, pp. 408-413, July.

1932a. Observations with the Rife microscope of filter-passing forms of microorganisms. Science, n. s., vol. 76, pp. 192-193, Aug. 26.

1942. Microdiplococci in filtrates of natural and experimental poliomyelitic virus compared under the electron and light microscopes. Proc. Staff Meet. Mayo Clinic, vol. 17, No. 7, pp. 99-106, Feb. 18.

SMITH, THEODORE A.

1941. The electron microscope. Scientific Monthly, vol. 52, pp. 337-341, April.

SOUTHALL, JAMES P. C.

1933. Mirrors, prisms, and lenses. 3d ed. Macmillan Co.

STANLEY, W. M., and ANDERSON, T. F.

1941. A study of purified viruses with the electron microscope. Journ. Biol. Chem., vol. 139, No. 1, pp. 325-338.

VANCE, A. W.

1941. Stable power supplied for electron microscopes. R. C. A. Rev., vol. 5, No. 3, pp. 293-300, January.

WILE, UDO J., PICARD, ROBERT G., and HEARNEY, EDNA B.

1942. The morphology of *Spirochaeta pallida* in the electron microscope. Journ. Amer. Med. Assoc., vol. 119, pp. 880-881, July 11.

ZWOBYKIN, V. K.

1940. An electron microscope for the research laboratory. Science, n. s., vol. 92, pp. 51-53, July 19.

1940a. Electrons extend the range. Electr. Eng., vol. 59, pp. 441-443, November.


ZWOBYKIN, V. K., HILLIER, J., and VANCE, A. W.

1941. An electron microscope for practical laboratory service. Electr. Eng., vol. 60, pp. 157-162.

1941a. Preliminary report on the development of a 300-kilovolt magnetic electron microscope. Journ. Appl. Phys., vol. 12, No. 10, pp. 738-742, October.


ZWOBYKIN, V. K., and RAMBERG, E. G.

1941. Surface studies with the electron microscope. Journ. Appl. Phys., vol. 12, No. 9, pp. 692-696, September.




R. C. A. TYPE B ELECTRON MICROSCOPE BESIDE A LABORATORY MODEL OF THE DESK TYPE ELECTRON MICROSCOPE.







1. GENERAL VIEW OF GRATON-DANE PRECISION, ALL-PURPOSE MICROCAMERA.



2. CLOSE-RANGE VIEW OF GRATON-DANE PRECISION, ALL-PURPOSE MICROCAMERA.




CHLOROPHYL CELLS (ALGAE) (THE UNIVERSAL MICROSCOPE).  
17,000 X on 35-mm. film.



TETANUS SPORES (THE UNIVERSAL MICROSCOPE).

25,000 X on 35-mm. film, enlarged 227,000 X.



TYPHOID BACILLUS (THE UNIVERSAL MICROSCOPE).

20,000 X — 25 mm. film, enlarged 300,000 X